Applying Rhetorical Structure Theory to Student Essays to Provide Automated Writing Feedback

Shiyan Jiang, Kexin Yang, Chandrakumari Suvarna, Pooja Casula, Mingtong Zhang, Carolyn Penstein Rosé



Introduction

- Structural Feedback: Designed to help writer develop a clear structure in which sentences and paragraph are well- organized.
- **Problem:** Actionable structural feedbacks are hard to give automatically.





Technology-enabled Writing Feedback



Limitation of state-of-the-art automated writing feedback:

- Locally situated in individual sentences.
- Not specific enough for students to take actions

Nitin Madnani, Jill Burstein, Norbert Elliot, Beata Beigman Klebanov, Diane Napolitano, Slava Andreyev, and Maxwell Schwartz. 2018. Writing mentor: Self-regulated writing feedback for struggling writers. In Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, pages 113–117. Bronwyn Woods, David Adamson, Shayne Miel, and Elijah Mayfield. 2017. Formative essay feedback using predictive scoring models. In Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 2071–2080.







Annotated RST Corpus of Student Writing

Source Data:

137 student essays from revision assistant built by our collaborator, Turnitin.

Genre:

Analysis, argumentative writing, historical analysis and informative writing

Goal of annotation:

To represent an essay in a rhetorical structure tree whose leaves are Elementary Discourse Units (EDUs)



A short student essay annotated using RST

Human-

Computer Interaction Institute

Amir Zeldes. 2016. rstWeb-a browser-based annotation interface for Rhetorical Structure Theory and discourse relations. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Demonstrations, pages 1–5.



Annotated RST Corpus of Student Writing



A long student essay annotated using RST

Amir Zeldes. 2016. rstWeb-a browser-based annotation interface for Rhetorical Structure Theory and discourse relations. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Demonstrations, pages 1–5.

Language Technologies Institute



Essay Annotation Process

First step:

Segment an essay into EDUs.

Second step:

Identify central claims in each paragraph.

Third Step:

Identify rhetorical relations between EDUs.

Fourth Step:

Identify rhetorical relations between spans.

Fifth Step:

Identify rhetorical relations between paragraphs.



Flowchart for identifying relative importance Amir Zeldes. 2016. rstWeb-a browser-based annotation interface for Rhetorical Structure Theory and discourse relations. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Demonstrations, pages 1-5.





Annotation Guideline & Flowchart



Shiyan Jiang, Kexin Yang, Chandrakumari Suvarna, and Carolyn Rose. 2019. Guideline and Flowchart for Rhetorical Structure Theory Annotation. Technical report, Carnegie Mellon University, School of Computer Science.

Language Technologies Institute





Adaptation of RST relations

Purpose of Adaptation:

1. Identify relations that can reveal the structure of student essays and trigger meaningful writing feedback

2. Reduce confusion and increase inter-rater reliability

One example of relation change

Background -1 : closely connected by pronoun, e.g. "it", "they" Background -2 : loose connection

Combine	Eliminate	Change
Conjunction	Condition	Background
Sequence	Unless	Justify
List	Purpose	Preparation
	Disjunction	Summary



Intelligent Tutoring System for RST Annotation



Open for public use, accounts upon request.



Language Technologies Institute

Carnegie Mellon University



10

Intelligent Tutoring System for RST Annotation



Open for public use, accounts upon request.



Language Technologies Institute



Intelligent Tutoring System for RST Annotation- Development Process

Authoring tool: CTAT - Cognitive Tutor Authoring Tools

Two rounds of cognitive task analysis(CTA):

First Round: Five subjects, RST novices Second Round: Three subjects with experience in RST

Findings from CTA:

Novices tend to:

- Refer back to definition
- Compare given task with examples sentences
- insert conjunction phrases to see if it make sense

Intelligent features:

- Bayesian Knowledge Tracing Algorithm
- Provide adaptive selection of next problem
- Mastery learning



Ryan S. Baker, Albert T. Corbett, and Vincent Aleven. 2008. More accurate student modeling through contextual estimation of slip and guess probabilities in Bayesian Knowledge Tracing. In International conference on intelligent tutoring systems, pages 406–415.





Current Status

Completed:

- 77 essays (62 training+15 evaluation), 1635 relations
- Prototype two-stage RST parser that can learn from our annotations and automatically parse novel essays, but with low accuracy

Future work:

- Increase scope of annotated data
- Iteratively improve RST parsing approach
- Classroom study to test whether structural feedback improves students' writing over existing feedback

Wang, Y., Li, S., & Wang, H. (2017, July). A two-stage parsing method for text-level discourse analysis. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers) (pp. 184-188).





Tree-structure Construction

 Recursive Deep Models for Discourse Parsing (Binary (Structure) Classification)



 $t_{\text{binary}}(e_1, e_2) = 1, \ t_{\text{binary}}(e_3, e_4) = 1,$ $t_{\text{binary}}(e_2, e_3) = 0, \ t_{\text{binary}}(e_3, e_6) = 0,$ $t_{\text{binary}}(e_5, e_6) = 1$





Acknowledgement

This work was funded in part by NSF grant and a Schmidt foundation postdoc fellowship. We thank our collaborators at Turnitin for providing student essays. We also thank Vincent Aleven and Jonathan Sewall for help in building the practical RST tutor.





